

QUACKWATCH

Several of our doctors have ended up on the Quackwatch website recently

Quackwatch is a website operated by an 86-year-old retired psychiatrist who has not had an active medical license for 26 years. He is the sole author of the articles. It has been alleged that he works for the drug companies who do not want to see nutrition infringe on their sales. He paints a very convincing and confusing picture in his writings by claiming that attributes required of drugs have not been proven with nutrients. The problem is to "treat" is something you can only do with drugs, yet he liberally claims nutrients have treatment flaws. Nutrient claims are subject to structure-function claims. He ignores this. Controlled studies are a function of drugs, but he disparages nutrients because of lack of controlled studies, etc. etc. etc.

WHO IS QUACKWATCH? Quackwatch is a website operated by Stephen Barrett. Mr. Barrett has not had an active medical license for 26 years. He is not current with regards to the medical education actively practicing physicians are required to have to ensure sound medical judgment. Therefore, he does not have the credentials to peer-review and pass judgement on medical decision making. Quackwatch Barrett appears to have only one focus in life - the disparagement of legitimate people. Most notable is his disparaging and condescending article about Linus Pauling, who is the only person ever to win two unshared Nobel prizes.

THE QUACKWATCH PARALLEL: March 31, 2019, the CBS News documentary 60 Minutes spotlighted family members of victims killed in mass shootings. For prolonged periods after mass shootings, there is harassment of surviving family members by internet postings and people calling to claim the shooting never happened. 60 Minutes played phone messages to family members claiming, "You never saw your sister's dead body" or "Your days are numbered." In my opinion, there is a distinct parallel between people harassing family members of the dead and Quackwatch methodology of posting claims that peer-reviewed scientific papers posted on the National Institute of Health (NIH) website are not real science. It is my opinion that there is no basis in fact for the harassing of surviving family members and no basis in fact for Quackwatch claiming he is skeptical of research. Both are harming people. Quackwatch is harming people in need of published medical care when it erroneously comments on medical research published on the National Institute of Health (NIH) website. Quackwatch is an 86-year-old man who has not practiced medicine for 26 years and does not have a robust state of the art medical practice capable of first-hand clinical evaluation of newly published cutting-edge research applications. But, he has refined the art of harassment as evidenced by lack of respect in his Quackwatch writings of Linus Pauling, the only person ever to be awarded two unshared Nobel Prizes.

EXAMPLE OF QUACKWATCH NON-SENSE: ONE OBSERVATION BY QUACKWATCH BARRETT: I am also concerned about the cost of (company name) supplements. (Company name) sells only to practitioners. Based on the data I have collected so far, it appears that patients are being charged considerably more than what their practitioner pays for them.

THE TRUTH: It appears that Quackwatch Barrett strongly feels that when a company purchases at wholesale the cost of goods should not be marked up. If this were the case Wal-Mart, Walgreens, and all other companies would not exist since there would be no money above the wholesale price cost to pay employees, pay for building costs, pay for electric, pay the water and sewer bill, pay marketing, etc.

QUACKWATCH

Several of our doctors have ended up on the Quackwatch website recently

FINDING #5, LISTED ON THE QUACKWATCH WEBSITE:

ONE OBSERVATION BY QUACKWATCH BARRETT: If Hinz's treatment methods were valid, he should be able to cite dozens of well-designed clinical trials that validate them. Despite extensive searching on PubMed and with Google, I have found none.

THE TRUTH: Treatment methods and clinical trials are a function of drugs which induce abnormal function in the body. Their focus is efficacy and safety. Nutrients are not drugs; they do not treat disease. They are required to meet structure-function claims based on science. Quackwatch Barrett appears to not know the difference between the FDA definition of drug treatment-clinical trials and nutrient structure-function claims. There will never be treatment clinical trials for the disease-like relative nutritional deficiency symptom™ research we have discovered.

TO READ MORE GO TO:

<https://neurosupport.com/summary-of-quackwatch-findings>

<https://parkinsonsclinics.com/index.php/our-clinic/marty-hinz-md-disparaged-by-quackwatch>